

Title:Mrs Emil Selig Sworn In For The State, 51st To Testify  
Category:LEO FRANK TRIAL BRIEF OF EVIDENCE

MRS. EMIL SELIG, sworn for the Defendant.

I am Mrs. Frank's mother\* (mother-in-law). Mr. and Mrs. Frank have been living with us two years. The sideboard is in the same position it always has been except when we sweep under it. We had lunch on April 26th after 1 o'clock, about ten minutes past one. Mr. Frank came about twenty minutes past one while we were eating. He sat down with us and ate. Mrs. Frank and I left before he did. We left about half past one. He was still eating at the table. After the opera, while we were on the street car, passing Jacob's drug store we saw Mr. Frank at about 6:10. I happened to look up at the clock and saw it was 6:10. We stopped at my sisters, Mrs. Loeb before going home. Mr. Frank was there when we got

there. We saw nothing unusual about him. No scratches, bruises, wounds or marks. We got home about half past six. We sat down to supper about a quarter to seven. Mr. Frank ate with us. We finished at a quarter past seven. We played cards that night in the dining room with a party of friends. Mr. Frank and his wife did not play. They do not play poker. They play bridge. He was sitting in the hall reading. Mr. Frank answered the doorbell and let in some of the guests. He came in once while we were playing cards to tell us about a joke that he had read about an umpire and he laughed out very heartily. He went to bed between ten and ten-thirty. He told us all good-night before going. Mrs. Frank followed a few minutes afterwards. We played cards until about twelve. I did not hear the telephone ring next morning. It did not wake me up. I saw Mr. Frank next day about 11 o'clock. I saw no blood spots or marks or bruises or cuts about him. I think he was arrested on Tuesday.

CROSS EXAMINATION.

I am not mistaken about seeing Mr. Frank about 1:20 on Memorial Day. We were eating dinner when he came in. Mr. Frank got home about 11 o'clock Sunday. He told us he had been sent for to come to town. He spoke of a crime having been committed. I asked him what

had happened. I don't remember that he told me about the crime. He did not seem unconcerned about it. I said at coroner's that I thought he seemed unconcerned about it. I don't remember his remarking about the youth of the girl or the brutality of the crime. He didn't describe any wounds. He didn't give any theory as to how it happened. He was anxious as to how it happened. I have forgotten what suits Mr. Frank wore Saturday, Sunday and Monday. I think I said before the coroner that he wore the same suit Saturday, Sunday and Monday. But I was mistaken. I don't remember saying before coroner whether Frank evidenced any curiosity or advanced any theory about it or not. I knew he wore one suit during the week and a different one on Sunday, and my impression was that on that Sunday he wore the same one. I don't think Mr. Frank mentioned the name of the girl that was killed on Sunday. The first that I knew of it was when I saw her name in the paper the next morning. The subject was mentioned at the dinner table on Sunday.

RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION.

My health is bad and I did not care to hear much of the facts of the crime at the time. I was operated on the next day. Mr. Frank spared my feelings. These are the clothes Mr. Frank wore on April 26th (Defendant's Exhibit 49).